

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,271	04/21/2004	Yin-Hung Chen	OP-093000198	5444
75	90 09/14/2		EXAMINER	
Yi-Wen Tseng 4331 Stevens Battle Lane			NGUYEN, HUNG THANH	
Fairfax, VA 22033			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	•
			DATE MAII ED: 00/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/828,271	CHEN, YIN-HUNG	3				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	HUNG T. NGUYE	N 2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howev nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory mininatutory period will apply and will expire S will, by statute, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered time IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 02 April 2004						
• -	2b)⊠ This action is non-final	1					
3) Since this application is in condition	• —		e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practi							
·	oc andor Ex parto quayro, is	,					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
, — , , — , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirer	nent					
o) Claim(s) are subject to resum	Alon and/or election requirem	ione.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action of form P	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority							
2. Certified copies of the priority							
		ve been received in this Nationa	ıl Stage				
application from the Internation			· ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/828,271

Art Unit: 2841

7 . J. . 5

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guyer et al. (US 6583989) in view of Snyder et al (US 4318489).

Regard claim 1: Guyer et al. discloses in figures 9 -13 a computer casing having a front board, a back board, a bottom board, a top board, a left side board and a right side board, comprising: an internal space (85) formed by the front board, the back board, the bottom board, the top board, the left side board and the right side board, for mounting a mother board (89-1, 89-2), a CPU (91-2), a heat dissipating device (157) and plural electrical elements (connection between CPU, modules) therein, wherein the heat dissipating device (157) is mounted on the CPU (91-2); and a supporting structure (plurality holes surrounded at the edge of the motherboard) formed on the bottom board (79) corresponding to a location of the CPU (91-2) and the heat dissipating device (157), wherein the supporting structure (plurality holes surrounded at the edge of the motherboard) includes a dent portion to provide a structural strength stronger than any other part of the bottom board.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,271

Art Unit: 2841

"Land " 10 " 10

Guyer et al. does not disclose a dent portion to provide a structural strength stronger

than any other part of the bottom board.

Snyder et al. discloses a dent portion to provide a structural strength stronger than any

other part of the bottom board.

Guyer et al. and Snyder et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of

endeavor to make supporting structure stronger.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in

the art, to make the dent over Guyer to provide a structural strength stronger as taught

by Snyder et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Guyer et al. with Snyder et al. for the

benefit of providing a structural strength stronger.

Regard claim 2: Guyer et al. discloses all the elements of the computer casing as

described above with respect to claim 1, wherein the supporting structure further

includes a plurality of pillars (see the plurality pillars on the edge of the motherboard)

protruded at four corners thereof. However, Guyer does not disclose a plurality of fixing

elements are used to fix the heat dissipating device to the mother board by passing

through the mother board to screw in corresponding pillars.

However, it is well known for a person of ordinary skill in the art to have a plurality of

fixing elements are used to fix the heat dissipating device to the mother board by

passing through the mother board to screw in corresponding pillars.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in

the art, to make fixing elements to reduce heat.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,271

Art Unit: 2841

Therefore, it would have been obvious to have the fixing elements for the benefit of

reducing heat.

Relevant Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Diaz et al. (US 6549397) teaches the Tower Computer With Low Center of Gravity, Hudson et al. (US 5159534) teaches the Electronic Packaging Arrangement, Jackson et al. (US 6452809) teaches Scalable Internet Engine, Lee (US 6396684) teaches Structure of Tower-Type Personal Computer and Ugarelli (US 5261543) teaches Plastic Bottle for Containing both Pressure and Non Pressure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to HUNG T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-

272-5983. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, KAMMIE CUNEO can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

HN

Hung Thanh Nguyen

August 4, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4